Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	·	10/051,062	OHWADA, MASA	40
	,	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>		Scott T. Baderman	2113	I
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Scott T. Baderman</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>James Dresser (Reg. # 22,973)</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 August 2005	-	Time:	• 10	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		t's representative)		-
If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			•	
Claims discussed: Independent claims 1, 4, 7, 10, 15, 19, 23 and 27		·	•	
Prior art documents discussed: Burkhardt et al. (6,854,053)				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.			٠	
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
		,		•
·				•
·				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	plicant/A	pplicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appro	priate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Dresser to discuss the prior art noted above with respect to the independent claims. The Examiner pointed out the numerous allowable subject matter in the dependent claims, as was noted in previous office actions, and was inquiring to see if the Applicant was interested in including the allowable subject matter into the independent claims in order to expidite this case to allowance. After approval from the Applicant to make such an amendment, Mr. Dresser contacted the Examiner on September 2, 2005 and subsequently filed a supplemental amendment.